Toward a grammar of timing in speech production

Christopher Geissler
Department of Linguistics
Carleton College

September 21, 2023
Slides available on cageissler.github.io/resources

Roadmap

- **Phonology and articulatory gestures**
- Coordinating gestures: the Coupled Oscillator Model
- Problems
  - Tibetan tone study
- Toward solutions: Analysis-by-synthesis
- Conclusion

LING 110-level
Categorical behavior

- In German, voiced consonants are voiceless when they occur at the end of words (but not elsewhere):
  - *Mau̲s̲* ‘mouse’ [maʊ̲s̲], but plural *Mäu̲s̲e* [mɔʏ̲z̲ə]
  - *Ra̲d̲* ‘wheel’ [ʀɑ̲t̲], but plural *Rä̲d̲er* [ʀɛ̲d̲ɐ]
  - compare:
    Ra̲t̲ ‘council’ [ʀɑ̲t̲], but plural *Rä̲t̲e* [ʀɛ̲t̲ə]

LING 110-level
Categorical behavior

- In German, voiced consonants are voiceless when they occur at the end of words (but not elsewhere):
  - *Mau̲s̲* ‘mouse’ [maʊ̲s̲], but plural *Mäu̲s̲e* [mɔʏ̲z̲ə]
  - *Ra̲d̲* ‘wheel’ [ʀɑ̲t̲], but plural *Rä̲d̲er* [ʀɛ̲d̲ɐ]
  - compare:
    Ra̲t̲ ‘council’ [ʀɑ̲t̲], but plural *Rä̲t̲e* [ʀɛ̲t̲ə]

Linguists are really good at this

LING 217-level

Probabilistic behavior

- In English, t/d at the end of a word sometimes isn't there
  - *rift* = [ɹɪfṯ] or [ɹɪf_]; *build* = [bɹɬd] or [bɹɬ]
  - More likely among some groups
  - More likely in some social contexts
  - More likely around some sounds
  - More likely in *mist* than in *missed*

LING 217-level

Probabilistic behavior

- In English, t/d at the end of a word sometimes isn't there
  - *rift* = [ɹɪfṯ] or [ɹɪf_]; *build* = [bɹɬd] or [bɹɬ]
  - More likely among some groups
  - More likely in some social contexts
  - More likely around some sounds
  - More likely in *mist* than in *missed*

Linguists get excited about this

…uh-oh

- *Perfect memory*
- At least some “deleted” t’s/d’s are visible in articulation, but not in acoustics
  - (Actually it’s most)



Midsagittal sections

(Browman & Goldstein 1988, Purse 2019)

…uh-oh

- *Perfect memory*
- At least some “deleted” t’s/d’s are visible in articulation, but not in acoustics
  - (Actually it’s most)

Gestures!

… but how are they coordinated?



Midsagittal sections

(Browman & Goldstein 1988, Purse 2019)

Gestures

- Definition 1: controlled movement of the vocal tract in speech
- Definition 2: abstract, hierarchical control unit for linguistically-defined goal-directed movement *(Pouplier 2020)*
  - Motor equivalence, equifinality

Roadmap

- Phonology and articulatory gestures
- **Coordinating gestures: the Coupled Oscillator Model**
- Problems
  - Tibetan tone study
- Toward solutions: Analysis-by-synthesis
- Conclusion

Bimanual tapping interlude



Oscillators

- Synchronization in non-speech and speech movements:
  - “pa… pa… pa… pa.pa[…]pa.pa.pa.pa”
  - “ap… ap… ap… ap.ap.[…]pa.pa.pa.pa”
- Tapping: “in-phase” more stable than “anti-phase”
  (both more stable than any other phasing)
  … in speech too?

CV vs. VC syllables

in-phase

[pa]	
LIPS	Labial closure
TONGUE TIP	
TONGUE BODY	pharyngeal wide

anti-phase

[ap]	
LIPS	labial closure
TONGUE TIP	
TONGUE BODY	pharyngeal wide

CV vs. VC syllables

in-phase

[pa]	
LIPS	Labial closure
TONGUE TIP	
TONGUE BODY	pharyngeal wide

/ti/ ‘tea’	
LIPS	
TONGUE TIP	alveolar closure
TONGUE BODY	palatal narrow

anti-phase

[ap]	
LIPS	labial closure
TONGUE TIP	
TONGUE BODY	pharyngeal wide

/it/ ‘eat’	
LIPS	
TONGUE TIP	alveolar closure
TONGUE BODY	palatal narrow

CV vs. VC syllables

in-phase

[pa]	
LIPS	Labial closure
TONGUE TIP	
TONGUE BODY	pharyngeal wide



anti-phase

[ap]	
LIPS	labial closure
TONGUE TIP	
TONGUE BODY	pharyngeal wide



CV vs. VC syllables

in-phase

[pa]	
LIPS	Labial closure
TONGUE TIP	
TONGUE BODY	pharyngeal wide



anti-phase

[ap]	
LIPS	labial closure
TONGUE TIP	
TONGUE BODY	pharyngeal wide



What about clusters?

- Empirically, onset clusters overlap

/spa/ ‘spa’	
LIPS	labial closure
TONGUE TIP	alveolar critical
TONGUE BODY	pharyngeal wide





What about tone?

- Empirically, V lags following C
  - (In *lexical tone* languages only)



(Gao 2008, Niemann et al. 2011, Karlin 2014)

Competitive coupling account 🎉

- Unifies clusters and tone (neat for typology)
- Unifies syllables (and up?), contrast, and planning

/spa/ ‘spa’	
LIPS	labial closure
TONGUE TIP	alveolar critical
TONGUE BODY	pharyngeal wide



/pá/	
LIPS	labial closure
TONGUE TIP	
TONGUE BODY	pharyngeal wide
pitch (?)	high



Roadmap

- Phonology and articulatory gestures
- Coordinating gestures: the Coupled Oscillator Model
- Problems
  - **Tibetan tone study**
- Toward solutions: Analysis-by-synthesis
- Conclusion

Doubts

- “Competitive coupling” … is weird
  - Is it much better than just stipulating phasing?
  - Doesn’t work for clusters of 3+
- Can we really generalize from ‘papapapa’ to regular speech?
- Should we rely on *start* of a gesture or the *end* of a gesture?

Predictions of Coupled Oscillator Model

- If there is a tone gesture in a syllable:
  - C-V timing like in clusters:
    C-V lag positive, ~50ms



- If there is no tone in that syllable:
  - Simultaneous C & V:
    C-V lag ~0ms



The perfect test case?

A language where some speakers produce tone and others don't

(Geissler 2019, 2021)

- 4 speakers produce a tone contrast, two do not (images: /mV/)

(Geissler et al. 2021)



EMA study

articulatory trajectories

- [p p^h m]: distance between lip sensors
- [i]→[u o a]: tongue dorsum retraction
- H, L tones; 1- and 2-syllable words
- C-V lag as diagnostic of tone





Tongue Dorsum: front ↓ back

Lip Aperture: open ↓ closed



(Data: Zhang, Geissler, & Shaw 2019)
(Mview software: Tiede 2005)

Results: C-V lag

- There is a positive C-V lag… for speakers with ***and*** without the tone contrast (and in both tones)
- Competitive Coupling has no explanation for the 50ms lag





Cross-linguistic evidence (before)

No tone,
no C-V lag

Arabic
Catalan
English
German
Georgian
Italian
Romanian



Cross-linguistic evidence (after)

No tone,
no C-V lag

Arabic
Catalan
English
German
Georgian
Italian
Romanian



Roadmap

- Phonology and articulatory gestures
- Coordinating gestures: the Coupled Oscillator Model
- Problems
  - Tibetan tone study
- **Toward solutions: Analysis-by-synthesis**
- Conclusion

There’s another problem

There’s another problem

WHEN DOES A GESTURE START

Velocity zero-crossing?

Velocity 20% of peak?

Acceleration maximum?

Divergence from repetitions?

…



Measuring to modeling

(Haken et al. 1985, Saltzman & Munhall 1989, Nam & Saltzman 2003)

- Model kinematics as critically-damped mass-spring oscillator
- In-phase/anti-phase/etc. determine relative timing





(Iskarous 2013)

Articulatory simulation

TADA: Task Dynamics Application *(Nam et al. 2004)*



Articulatory simulation

TADA: Task Dynamics Application *(Nam et al. 2004)*

Images from a different study sanity-checking the Tibetan experiment results *(Geissler 2022)*



Analysis-by-synthesis: <five>

- Diphthong targets can’t be separated with kinematic data
- Make a simulation, then tweak it, → 34,000 simulations
  Compare to 525 tokens from X-ray Microbeam Database

Bad fit **Good fit**

Simulated

Real

Analysis-by-synthesis: <five>

- Diphthong targets can't be separated with kinematic data
- Make a simulation, then tweak it, → 34,000 simulations
  Compare to 525 tokens from X-ray Microbeam Database

Bad fit



Good fit



Simulated

Real

Interim findings

Analysis-by-Synthesis of <five>

- We got some results!
  - [a] portion of dipthong timed to rest of word
  - [ɪ] portion more free to vary across tokens
- Still a lot to do
  - Extremely computationally-intensive
  - Which dimensions of variation? How much to vary?
  - What's the best way to compare curves?

Roadmap

- Phonology and articulatory gestures
- Coordinating gestures: the Coupled Oscillator Model
- Problems
  - Tibetan tone study
- Toward solutions: Analysis-by-synthesis
- **Conclusion**

What have we learned

- Tibetan: some speakers have tone, others don't
  BUT they all have the same C-V lag
  → problem for Competitive Coupling of Tone
- Studying coordination requires consistent, reliable, practical ways to identify gestures
  → Analysis-by-Synthesis might help



Theory ↔ Data

- Observation: Gestures!
  - Theory: Oscillators!
- Observation: overlap in clusters
  - Theory: Coupled Oscillators!
    What else can this do? Tone!
- Observations: or can it?
  - Theory: …

Theory ↔ Data

- Observation: Gestures!
  - Theory: Oscillators!
- Observation: overlap in clusters
  - Theory: Coupled Oscillators! What else can this do? Tone!
- Observations: or can it?
  - Theory: …

For now:

- Gather new observations & reevaluate old ones
  → descriptive generalizations
- Gather pieces that might help us with the next iteration
  → tools (e.g. simulators)
  → insights from other fields

If you liked this talk…

- Take classes!
  - CGSC 253: Philosophy of Cog Sci (Jonathan McKinney)
    CGSC/PSYC 232: Cognitive Processes (Cathie Galotti)
  - LING 275: First Language Acquisition (Cati Fortin)
    LING 318: Laboratory Phonology (Chris Geissler)
  - MUSC 110&204: Music Theory I&II (Justin London)
  - PSYC 216: Behavioral Neuroscience (Lawrence Wichlinski)
    PSYC 220: Sensation & Perception (Julia Strand)
  - … and more, incl. with Mija Van Der Wedge, Cherlon Ussery…
- Research! (Possibly this December?)
- Talk with linguists! (Snacks after…)

ཐུགས་རྗེ་ཆེ།

Thank you!

Pocket slides

What about diphthongs?

- Can approximately describe with in-phase/anti-phase
- How do diphthongs change when they get shorter?





(Goldstein et al. 2000)

Articulatory study

Geissler et al. (2021), Geissler (2021ch4)

- H1: variation in timing conditioned by presence/absence of lexical tone
  - speakers with tone contrast will have competitive coupling (pos. C-V lag)
  - speakers without tone contrast will have in-phase C-V timing (no C-V lag)
- H2: timing convergence:
  - all speakers will have similar coordination patterns despite interspeaker variation in presence/absence of tone
- What kind of tone contrast is there?
  - If H-∅, then difference will be visible in high vs. low tone words
  - If H-L, then no difference in timing by tone.

EMA Study conclusions

- H1: variation in timing conditioned by presence/absence of lexical tone
  - speakers with tone contrast will have competitive coupling (pos. C-V lag)
  - speakers without tone contrast will have in-phase C-V timing (no C-V lag)
- ✔ **H2: timing convergence:**
  - all speakers have similar coordination patterns despite interspeaker variation in presence/absence of tone
- What kind of tone contrast is there?
  - If H-∅, then difference will be visible in high vs. low tone words
  - ✔ If **H-L**, then no difference in timing by tone.

The temporal basis of complex segments

Shaw et al. 2019

The temporal basis of complex segments

Shaw (2019): predictions

In-phase



In-phase + lag (offset)





Figure 1: Hypothesized gestural coordination patterns for complex segments (a), (c) and segment sequences (b), (d)

Anti-Phase



Anti-Phase - lag (offset)



The temporal basis of complex segments

Shaw (2019): results



Figure 4: Correlations for the data from the English experiment



Figure 2: Correlations for the Russian data

Tibetan dialects

Tibetan

བོད་སྐད་

- “archaic”/ “cluster”
- “innovative”/ “non-cluster”
- dialect continuum
- post-1959 diaspora

Approx. extent of tone



Dialects: Natural laboratory

- tonogenesis
- laryngeal variation
- cluster simplification
- vowel shifts, spirantization, retroflexion, palatalization
- evidential, honorifics, modality, etc.

Written (Classical) Tibetan	Balti (Western)	Rebkong (Northeastern)	Tokpe Gola (Central)	Gloss
khrag	[kʂʌk]	[t͡ɕɤɣ]	[t^{h}ʌ́k] ([t^{h}ák])	‘blood’
rtswa	[xst͡soa]	[xt͡sa]	[t͡sá]	‘grass’
spyang ki	[spjaŋ.ˈku]	[xt͡ɕaŋ.ˈk^{h}ɤ]	[t͡ʃáŋ.gú]	‘wolf’
bcu bdun	[t͡ɕub.ˈdʊn]	[t͡ɕɤb.ˈdɤn]	[t͡ʃúp.tő] ([t͡ʃúp.tỹ])	‘seventeen’

(Adapted from Caplow 2013)

Tonogenesis
(tonal dialects only)

- Voiceless onsets > high tone
  - *p^{h}ar ‘over there’ > H
    *sa ‘earth’ > H
- Voiced onsets > low tone
  - *bar ‘between’ > L
    *za ‘eat’ > L
    *mar ‘butter’ > L
- Sonorants with pre-initial > high tone
  - *sman ‘medicine’ > H

Laryngeal contrasts

	Etymological onsets							Innovative features
Orthography	སྤ་	ཕ་	བ་	སྦ་	ས་	ཟ་	བཟ་	
Old Tibetan	sᵊpa	pʰa	ba	sᵊba	sa	za	bᵊza	aspiration allphonic
Northeastern and Western dialects	spa	pʰa	ba ~ wa	ʁba	sa	za	za	cluster simplification aspirated/unaspirated contrast
Eastern dialects	pá	pʰá	pà	bà	sá	zà	zà	tonogenesis cluster simplification
Central dialects (Lhasa)	pá	pʰá	pʰà	pà	sá	sà	sà	voiced clusters > voiceless voiced simplex > aspirated





back to slide 7

Interactive Sagittal Section

Coordinating gestures in time

- Gestural coupling modes:
  - *In-phase coupling:* (synchronous) and *Anti-phase coupling* (sequential) are most stable
  - *Competitive coupling:* combination of in-phase and anti-phase coupling relations
  - *Eccentric coupling:* one coupling relation, just not intrinsically stable









(Nam & Saltzman 2003, Nam et al. 2009, Goldstein 2011)

Two systems of laryngeal contrasts

even in speakers with no F0 contrast (!!!)

- Both conditioned by etymological tone category:
- Left speaker
  - no prevoicing
  - long VOT only with H tone
- Right speaker:
  - prevoicing with L tone
  - long VOT with both tones



Does H have higher pitch than L?

Yes for 11/19, no for 7/19



Consonant and tone categories



<five> study: methods

O'Reilly, Geissler, & Tang (2023)

- Ideal test case?
  - diphthongs: all four modes
  - C's with lips, V's with tongue
  - available data



Timing in phonology and/or phonetics?

- "Discrete Phonology" vs. "Gradient Phonetics"
- Speech timing as phonology
  - Is timing *intrinsic* or *extrinsic* to phonology?
  - Are gestures coordinated at *beginning* or *end*?
  - *Symbolic* vs. *phonetically-enriched* representations?

(Turk & Shattuck-Hufnagel 2021)